## 3.

## **After-Event Review**

These forms should be filled out <u>and discussed</u> with the people involved in planning and executing the event. The lessons learned should be shared more broadly with any staff involved in event planning.

### Tool 3A After-Event Review for Legal Network-Hosted Event (abbreviated version)

<ul><li>1. Name of the event:</li><li>2. Date of the event:</li></ul>					11. What worked well for the event?					
3. Name of the person completing this form:				12. What were the problem areas, if any, that affected the success of the event?						
4. The Legal Network priority or theme the event addressed/ supported:					13. On a scale of 1–5, was the event worth the effort and investment to organize?					
5. The cost	of putting on t	he event:			1	2	3	4	5	
6. The primary purpose of the event:				Definitely NOT Definitely worth it  14. What was/were the key lesson(s) for the next event?						
7. On a scale	e of 1–5, to wha	t degree did you	achieve this p	urpose?						
1	2	3	4	5	15. What foll	ow-up is nee	ded, by whom ar	nd by when?		
Not at all				Complete						
8. The secor	nary purpose	of the event (if ap	oplicable):							
9. On a scale purpose?		at degree did you	ı achieve this s	econdary						
1	2	3	4	5						
Not at all				Complete						
attracte	d new major d	oncrete result(s) lonor, coverage i nmitment from k	n elite journal [	use media						

3.

# **After-Event Review**

## Tool 3B After Conference/Meeting Participant's Review

(to be completed by person who attended the meeting and/or used for standardized verbal reporting to manager, management team, or in team or staff meetings)

1. The name of the conference or meeting:	9. For what purpose(s) did you attend the meeting?
2. Date of the conference or meeting:	
3. Name of the person completing this form:	10. On a scale of 1–5, to what degree did you achieve this purpose?
4. In your opinion, what Legal Network priority or theme did the conference or meeting address/support?	1 2 3 4 5  Not at all Completely  11. Please explain your rating:
5. [For written reports] For meetings that involved travel outside of Ontario, roughly what was the cost of your attendance (travel, hotel and meals, conference fees)?	12. Did you engage in networking? If so, how many contacts did you make or strengthen. (Remember to input contact information into the database.)
6. The primary purpose of the conference or meeting:	13. What follow-up will you be doing from this trip?
7. On a scale of 1–5, to what degree was the purpose achieved?	14. Overall, was the trip worthwhile? Why or why not?
1 2 3 4 5	
Not at all Completel  8. Please explain your rating:	15. Would you recommend attending this (or similar) meetings/ conferences in the future, and if so, is there anything you or your colleagues might do to better prepare for or take advantage of the meeting?
	-

### Tool 3C Planning, Monitoring and AER Form for Co-Hosted Events

This is a more detailed form that may be useful if the Legal Network is planning an event with other organizations. The planning side can be used to make sure there is clarity on purpose, roles, and deadlines. The evaluation side provides a structured way to monitor implementation progress (see items 10–14) and do a post-event debrief.

PLANNING	EVALUATION						
Purpose							
1. Please state the purpose(s) of the event:	On a scale of 1–5, where 1=not at all and 5=completely:						
i.	Was purpose 1 met?						
ii.	Was purpose 2 met?						
iii.	Was purpose 3 met?						
2. Please list your target audience(s):	Did you reach your targeted audiences?						
	If not, why not (comment)?						
3. Your numeric target for attendance:	How many people attended your event?						
4. What media are you targeting (please list in order of importance)	What media did you reach (please list)?						
	How satisfied were you with media coverage on the 1 to 5 scale?						
	1 2 3 4 5						
5. What are the 1–3 key messages you are trying to get out in the	Which statement is most true?						
media?	All our messages were covered						
	At least one primary message got out						
	Our messaging was poorly conveyed						
	<ul> <li>Our messaging was not picked up</li> </ul>						
6. What is the fundraising goal (if applicable)?	How much did you raise?						
s							
	What contributed to the outcome (positive or negative)?						
7. How is this event meant to contribute to your overall strategy?	On a scale of 1 (not at all) to 5 (completely), did the event contribute to strategy as anticipated?						
	to strategy as anticipated?						
	1 2 3 4 5						

Please comment on any of the above, highlighting what went particularly well and what should be done differently in the future.



#### 3: After-Event Review (AER)

#### CONTINUED FROM PAGE 32

PLANNING			EVALUATION					
Planning and Monitoring								
9. Why is this event being held at this time?			Did the timing turn out to be appropriate?  Yes Somewhat No					
10. Are there any competing might affect  a. planning? No Yes  b. attendance? No Yes  c. media interest? No Yes  If yes, please specify:			-				ersely affected tl uture to avoid thi	
11. What is the budget for the	event?	S	What was actua	ally spent? \$	6			
			Was the budget				barely suffici	
12. What organization has/h	ad prima	ary responsibility for planning/	How well did it n	neet its resp	oons	ibilities?		
coordinating the event?			<b>1</b> Not at all	2	3	4	<b>5</b> Fully	
13. What other organizations	were inv	olved in planning?						
NAME	RESPONS	SIBILITIES	How well did ea	ch of the fo	llowi	ng meet thei	ir responsibilitie	s?
			<b>1</b> Not at all	2	3	4	<b>5</b> Fully	
			<b>1</b> Not at all	2	3	4	<b>5</b> Fully	
14. What are the deadlines fo	r the foll	owing activities:						
ACTIVITY	DATE	PERSON/ORGANIZATION RESPONSIBLE						
Venue procured			1 on schedule	2 in time		<b>3</b> late, minor problems	4 late major problems	
Budget confirmed			1 on schedule	2 in time		<b>3</b> late, minor problems	4 late major problems	
Meeting design			1 on schedule	2 in time		<b>3</b> late, minor problems	<b>4</b> late major problems	
Invitations (special)			1 on schedule	2 in time		<b>3</b> late, minor problems	4 late major problems	
Invitations (general)			1 on schedule	2 in time		<b>3</b> late, minor problems	4 late major problems	

Reminders	1 on schedule	2 in time	3 late, minor problems	<b>4</b> late major problems	
Preparatory materials	1 on schedule	2 in time	3 late, minor problems	4 late major problems	
Catering	1 on schedule	<b>2</b> in time	<b>3</b> late, minor problems	4 late major problems	
AV	1 on schedule	<b>2</b> in time	<b>3</b> late, minor problems	4 late major problems	
interpretation arranged	1 on schedule	<b>2</b> in time	<b>3</b> late, minor problems	4 late major problems	
Other	1 on schedule	2 in time	<b>3</b> late, minor problems	<b>4</b> late major problems	

15. Were there any external factors/mitigating circumstances that affected participating organizations' ability to deliver on the event?

16. What are 1–3 things that organizers can do more of or do differently to support each other that would make delivery of such an event smoother?

#### **Summary Assessment/Reflections**

17. Overall, what was gained by having this event? (This refers to purpose but also includes what individual or organizational participants might have gained in terms of new skills, exposure, etc.)

18. What follow-up needs to be done to capitalize on those gains? Who should do the follow-up?

19. Did we incur any "costs" from the event in terms of reputational risk (e.g. was anything mishandled or any conflicts generated that we need to follow-up on so our profile or standing is not negatively affected)?

20. Overall, was this event worth the time, energy and cost? What are the implications of the usefulness of this event as part of strategy in the future?